arXiv:math/0407343v4 [math.AG] 3 Sep 2007

NONRATIONAL DEL PEZZO FIBRATIONS

IVAN CHELTSOV

Abstract. Let X be a general divisor in $|3M+nL|$ on the rational scroll $\mathrm{Proj}(\oplus_{i=1}^{4}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(d_i))$, where d_i and n are integers, M is the tautological line bundle, L is a fibre of the natural projection to $\mathbb{P}^1$, and $d_1 \geqslant \cdots \geqslant d_4 = 0$. We prove that X is rational $\iff$ $d_1 = 0$ and $n = 1$.

1. Introduction.

The rationality problem for threefolds[1] splits in three cases: conic bundles, del Pezzo fibrations, and Fano threefolds. The cases of conic bundles and Fano threefolds are well studied.

Let $\psi\colon X \to \mathbb{P}^1$ be a fibration into del Pezzo surfaces of degree $k \geqslant 1$ such that X is smooth and $\mathrm{rk}\,\mathrm{Pic}(X) = 2$. Then X is rational if $k \geqslant 5$. The following result is due to [1] and [12].

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that fibres of ψ are normal and $k = 4$. Then X is rational if and only if*

$$\chi\big(X\big) \in \big\{0, -8, -4\big\},$$

where $\chi(X)$ is the topological Euler characteristic.

The following result is due to [8].

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose that $K_X^2 \notin \mathrm{Int}\,\overline{\mathrm{NE}}(X)$ and $k \leqslant 2$. Then X is nonrational.*

In the case when $k \leqslant 2$ and $K_X^2 \in \mathrm{Int}\,\overline{\mathrm{NE}}(X)$, the threefold X belongs to finitely many deformation families, whose general members are nonrational (see [13], [4], [6], Proposition 1.5).

Suppose that $k = 3$. Then X is a divisor in the linear system $|3M+nL|$ on the scroll

$$\mathrm{Proj}\Big(\oplus_{i=1}^{4}\,\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}\big(d_i\big)\Big),$$

where n and d_i are integers, M is the tautological line bundle, and L is a fibre of the natural projection to $\mathbb{P}^1$. Suppose that $d_1 \geqslant d_2 \geqslant d_3 \geqslant d_4 = 0$.

Suppose that X is a general[2] divisor in $|3M+nL|$. The following result is due to [8].

Theorem 1.3. *Suppose that $K_X^2 \notin \mathrm{Int}\,\overline{\mathrm{NE}}(X)$. Then X is nonrational.*

It follows from [5], [11], [2], [13], [3], [4] that X is nonrational when

$$\big(d_1, d_2, d_3, n\big) \in \Big\{\big(0,0,0,2\big), \big(1,0,0,0\big), \big(2,1,1,-2\big), \big(1,1,1,-1\big)\Big\}.$$

We prove the following result in Section 3.

Theorem 1.4. *The threefold X is rational $\iff$ $d_1 = 0$ and $n = 1$.*

Therefore, the threefold X is nonrational in the case when $\chi(X) \neq -14$. Indeed, we have

$$\chi(X) = -4K_X^3 - 54 = -4\Big(18 - 6\big(d_1+d_2+d_3\big) - 8n\Big) - 54 = 18 - 24\big(d_1+d_2+d_3\big) - 32n,$$

and $\chi(X) = -14$ implies that $(d_1, d_2, d_3, n) = (0,0,0,1)$ or $(d_1, d_2, d_3, n) = (2,1,1,-2)$.

The author would like to thank A. Corti, M. Grinenko, V. Iskovskikh, V. Shokurov for fruitful conversations.

[1] All varieties are assumed to be projective, normal, and defined over $\mathbb{C}$.

[2] A complement to a countable union of Zariski closed subsets.

The inequality $5n \geqslant 12-3(d_1+d_2+d_3)$ holds when $K_X^2 \notin \operatorname{Int}\overline{\mathrm{NE}}(X)$. For $n<0$, the inequality

$$5n \geqslant 12-3\big(d_1+d_2+d_3\big)$$

implies that $K_X^2 \notin \operatorname{Int}\overline{\mathrm{NE}}(X)$ (see Lemma 36 in [3]). Hence, the threefold X does not belong to finitely many deformation families in the case when $K_X^2 \in \operatorname{Int}\overline{\mathrm{NE}}(X)$ (see Section 2).

Let us illustrate our methods by proving the following result.

Proposition 1.5. *Let X be double cover of the scroll*

$$\operatorname{Proj}\Big(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}\big(2\big)\oplus\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2)\oplus\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}\Big)$$

that is branched over a general[3] *divisor $D\in|4M-2L|$, where M is the tautological line bundle, and L is a fibre of the natural projection to $\mathbb{P}^1$. Then X is nonrational.*

Proof. Put $V=\operatorname{Proj}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2)\oplus\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2)\oplus\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1})$. The divisor D is given by the equation

$$\alpha_6x_1^4+\alpha_6^1x_1^3x_2+\alpha_4x_1^3x_3+\alpha_6^2x_1^2x_2^2+\alpha_4^1x_1^2x_2x_3+\alpha_2x_1^2x_3^2+\alpha_6^3x_1x_2^3+$$
$$+\alpha_4^2x_1x_2^2x_3+\alpha_2^1x_1x_2x_3^2+\alpha_0x_1x_3^3+\alpha_6^4x_2^4+\alpha_4^3x_2^3x_3+\alpha_2^2x_2^2x_3^2+\alpha_0^1x_2x_3^3=0$$

in bihomogeneous coordinates on V (see §2.2 in [9]), where $\alpha_d^i=\alpha_d^i(t_1,t_2)$ is a sufficiently general homogeneous polynomial of degree $d\geqslant 0$. Let

$$\chi\colon Y\longrightarrow\operatorname{Proj}\Big(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}\big(2\big)\oplus\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2)\oplus\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}\Big)$$

be a double cover branched over a divisor $\Delta\subset V$ that is given by the same bihomogeneous equation as of divisor D with the only exception that $\alpha_0=\alpha_0^1=0$. Then Y is singular, because the divisor Δ is singular along the curve $Y_3\subset V$ that is given by the equations $x_1=x_2=0$.

The Bertini theorem implies the smoothness of Δ outside of the curve Y_3.

Let C be a curve on the threefold Y such that $\chi(C)=Y_3$. Then the threefold Y has singularities of type $\mathbb{A}_1\times\mathbb{C}$ at general point of the curve C. We may assume that the system

$$\alpha_2\big(t_1,t_2\big)=\alpha_2^1\big(t_1,t_2\big)=\alpha_2^2\big(t_1,t_2\big)=0$$

has no non-trivial solutions. Then Y has singularities of type $\mathbb{A}_1\times\mathbb{C}$ at every point of C.

Let $\alpha\colon\tilde{V}\to V$ be the blow up of Y_3, and $\beta\colon\tilde{Y}\to Y$ be the blow up of C. Then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}\tilde{Y} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\chi}} & \tilde{V}\\ {\scriptstyle\beta}\big\downarrow & & \big\downarrow{\scriptstyle\alpha}\\ Y & \xrightarrow{\chi} & V\end{array}$$

commutes, where $\tilde{\chi}\colon\tilde{Y}\to\tilde{V}$ is a double cover. The threefold $\tilde{Y}$ is smooth.

Let E be the exceptional divisor of α, and $\tilde{\Delta}$ be the proper transform of Δ via α. Then

$$\tilde{\Delta}\sim\alpha^*\big(4M-2L\big)-2E,$$

which implies that $\tilde{\Delta}$ is nef and big, because the pencil $|\alpha^*(M-2L)-E|$ does not have base points. The morphism $\tilde{\chi}$ is branched over $\tilde{\Delta}$. Then $\operatorname{rk}\operatorname{Pic}(\tilde{Y})=3$ by Theorem 2 in [10].

The linear system $|g^*(M-L)-E|$ does not have base points and gives a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle

$$\tau\colon\tilde{V}\longrightarrow\operatorname{Proj}\Big(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}\big(2\big)\oplus\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}\big(2\big)\Big)\cong\mathbb{F}_0,$$

which induces a conic bundle $\tilde{\tau}=\tau\circ\tilde{\chi}\colon\tilde{Y}\to\mathbb{F}_0$.

Let $Y_2\subset V$ be the subscroll given by $x_1=0$, and S be a proper transform of Y_2 via α. Then

$$Y_2\cong\operatorname{Proj}\Big(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}\big(2\big)\oplus\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}\Big)\cong\mathbb{F}_2,$$

[3] A complement to a countable union of Zariski closed subsets.

and $S \cong Y_2$. But τ maps S to the section of $\mathbb{F}_0$ that has trivial self-intersection.

Let $\tilde{S}$ be a surface in $\tilde{Y}$ such that $\tilde{\chi}(\tilde{S}) = S$, and $Z \subset \tilde{Y}$ be a general fibre of the natural projection to $\mathbb{P}^1$. Then $-K_Z$ is nef and big and $K_Z^2 = 2$. But the morphism

$$\alpha \circ \tilde{\chi}\big|_{\tilde{S}} \colon \tilde{S} \longrightarrow Y_2$$

is a double cover branched over a divisor that is cut out by the equation

$$\alpha_6^4(t_0, t_1)x_2^2 + \alpha_4^3(t_0, t_1)x_2x_3 + \alpha_2^2(t_0, t_1)x_3^2 = 0.$$

Let $\Xi \subset \mathbb{F}_0$ be a degeneration divisor of the conic bundle $\tilde{\tau}$. Then

$$\Xi \sim \lambda\tilde{\tau}(\tilde{S}) + \mu\tilde{\tau}(Z),$$

where λ and μ are integers. But $\lambda = 6$, because $K_Z^2 = 2$. We have $\tilde{\tau}(\tilde{S}) \not\subset \Xi$. Then

$$\mu = \tilde{\tau}(\tilde{S}) \cdot \Xi = 8 - K_{\tilde{S}}^2,$$

because μ is the number of reducible fibres of the conic bundle $\tilde{\tau}|_{\tilde{S}}$. These fibers are given by

$$\Big(\alpha_4^3(t_0, t_1)\Big)^2 = 4\alpha_2^2(t_0, t_1)\alpha_6^4(t_0, t_1),$$

which implies that $\mu = \tilde{\tau}(\tilde{S}) \cdot \Xi = 8$. Then $\tilde{Y}$ is nonruled by Theorem 10.2 in [11], which implies the nonrationality of the threefold X by Theorem 1.8.3 in §IV of the book [7]. □

2. Preliminaries.

All results of this section follow from [3]. Take a scroll

$$V = \mathrm{Proj}\Big(\oplus_{i=1}^4 \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(d_i)\Big),$$

where d_i is an integer, and $d_1 \geqslant d_2 \geqslant d_3 \geqslant d_4 = 0$. Let M and L be the tautological line bundle and a fibre of the natural projection to $\mathbb{P}^1$, respectively. Then $\mathrm{Pic}(V) = \mathbb{Z}M \oplus \mathbb{Z}L$.

Let $(t_1 : t_2; x_1 : x_2 : x_3 : x_k)$ be bihomogeneous coordinates on V such that $x_i = 0$ defines a divisor in $|M - d_iL|$, and L is given by $t_1 = 0$. Then $|aM + bL|$ is spanned by divisors

$$c_{i_1i_2i_3i_4}(t_1, t_2)x_1^{i_1}x_2^{i_2}x_3^{i_3}x_k^{i_4} = 0,$$

where $\sum_{j=1}^4 i_j = a$ and $c_{i_1i_2i_3i_4}(t_1, t_2)$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $b + \sum_{j=1}^4 i_jd_j$.

Let $Y_j \subseteq V$ be a subscroll $x_1 = \cdots = x_{j-1} = 0$. The following result holds (see §2.8 in [9]).

Corollary 2.1. *Take $D \in |aM + bL|$ and $q \in \mathbb{N}$, where a and b are integers. Then*

$$\mathrm{mult}_{Y_j}(D) \geqslant q \iff ad_j + b + (d_1 - d_j)(q - 1) < 0.$$

Let X be a general[4] divisor in $|3M + nL|$, where n is an integer.

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose X is smooth and $\mathrm{rk}\,\mathrm{Pic}(X) = 2$. Then $d_1 \geqslant -n$ and $3d_3 \geqslant -n$.*

Proof. We see that $Y_2 \not\subset X$. Then $Y_3 \not\subset X$, because $\mathrm{rk}\,\mathrm{Pic}(X) = 2$. But $\mathrm{mult}_{Y_4}(X) \leqslant 1$, because the threefold X is smooth. The assertion of Corollary 2.1 concludes the proof. □

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose X is smooth and $\mathrm{rk}\,\mathrm{Pic}(X) = 2$. Then either $d_1 = -n$ or $d_2 \geqslant -n$.*

Proof. Suppose that $r = d_1 + n > 0$ and $d_2 < -n$. Then X can be given by the equation

$$\sum_{\substack{i,\,j,\,k\geqslant 0\\ i+j+k=2}} \gamma_{ijk}(t_0, t_2)x_1^ix_2^jx_3^kx_4 = \alpha_r(t_1, t_2)x_1x_4^2 + \sum_{\substack{i,\,j,\,k\geqslant 0\\ i+j+k=3}} \beta_{ijk}(t_0, t_2)x_1^ix_2^jx_3^k,$$

[4]A complement to a Zariski closed subset in moduli.

where $\alpha_r(t_1,t_2)$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree r, β_{ijk} and γ_{ijk} are homogeneous polynomial of degree $n+id_1+jd_2+kd_3$. Then every point of the intersection

$$x_1=x_2=x_3=\alpha_r\big(t_1,t_2\big)=0$$

must be singular on the threefold X, which is a contradiction. □

Lemma 2.4. *Suppose X is smooth, $d_2=d_3$, $n<0$ and $\operatorname{rk}\operatorname{Pic}(X)=2$. Then $3d_3\neq -n$.*

Proof. Suppose that $3d_3=-n$. Then X can be given by the the bihomogeneous equation

$$\sum_{\substack{j,\,k,\,l\geqslant 0\\ i+j+k=2}}\gamma_{jkl}(t_0,t_2)x_1x_2^jx_3^kx_4^l=f_3(x_2,x_3)+\alpha_r(t_0,t_2)x_1^3+\sum_{\substack{j,\,k,\,l\geqslant 0\\ j+k+l=1}}\beta_{jkl}(t_0,t_2)x_1^2x_2^jx_3^kx_4^l,$$

where $f_3(x_2,x_3)$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree 3, β_{jkl} and γ_{jkl} are homogeneous polynomial of degree $n+2d_1+jd_2+kd_3$ and $n+d_1+jd_2+kd_3$ respectively, α_r is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $r=3d_1+n$. The threefold X contains 3 subscrolls given by the equations

$$x_1=f_3(x_2,x_3)=0,$$

which is impossible, because $\operatorname{rk}\operatorname{Pic}(X)=2$. □

The following result follows from Lemmas 2.2, 2.3 and 2.4.

Lemma 2.5. *The threefold X is smooth and $\operatorname{rk}\operatorname{Pic}(X)=2$ whenever*

(1) *in the case when $d_1=0$, the inequality $n>0$ holds,*
(2) *either $d_1=-n$ and $3d_3\geqslant -n$, or $d_1>-n$, $d_2\geqslant -n$ and $3d_3\geqslant -n$,*
(3) *in the case when $d_2=d_3$ and $n<0$, the inequality $3d_3>-n$ holds.*

Proof. Suppose that all these conditions are satisfied. We must show that X is smooth, because the equality $\operatorname{rk}\operatorname{Pic}(X)=2$ holds by Proposition 32 in [3].

The linear system $|3M+nL|$ does not have base points if $n\geqslant 0$. So, the threefold X is smooth by the Bertini theorem in the case $n\geqslant 0$. Therefore, we may assume that $n<0$.

The base locus of $|3M+nL|$ consists of the curve Y_4, which implies that X is smooth outside of the curve Y_4 and in a general point of Y_4 by the Bertini theorem and Corollary 2.1, respectively.

In the case when $d_1=-n$ and $d_2<-n$, the bihomogeneous equation of the threefold X is

$$\sum_{\substack{i,\,j,\,k\geqslant 0\\ i+j+k=2}}\gamma_{ijk}(t_0,t_2)x_1^ix_2^jx_3^kx_4=\alpha_0x_1x_4^2+\sum_{\substack{i,\,j,\,k\geqslant 0\\ i+j+k=3}}\beta_{ijk}(t_0,t_2)x_1^ix_2^jx_3^k,$$

where β_{ijk} and γ_{ijk} are homogeneous polynomials of degree $n+id_1+jd_2+kd_3$ and α_0 is a nonzero constant. The curve Y_4 is given by $x_1=x_2=x_3=0$, which implies that X is smooth.

In the case when $d_1>-n$ and $d_2\geqslant -n$, the bihomogeneous equation of X is

$$\sum_{\substack{i,\,j,\,k\geqslant 0\\ i+j+k=2}}\gamma_{ijk}(t_0,t_2)x_1^ix_2^jx_3^kx_4=\sum_{i=1}^{3}\alpha_i(t_0,t_2)x_ix_4^2+\sum_{\substack{i,\,j,\,k\geqslant 0\\ i+j+k=3}}\beta_{ijk}(t_0,t_2)x_1^ix_2^jx_3^k,$$

where α_i is a homogeneous polynomial of degree d_i+n, and β_{ijk} and γ_{ijk} are homogeneous polynomials of degree $n+id_1+jd_2+kd_3$. Therefore, tither $\alpha_1x_1x_4^2$ or $\alpha_2x_2x_4^2$ does not vanish at any given point of the curve Y_4, which implies that X is smooth. □

Thus, there is an infinite series of quadruples (d_1,d_2,d_3,n) such that the threefold X is smooth, the equality $\operatorname{rk}\operatorname{Pic}(X)=2$ holds, the inequality $5n<12-3(d_1+d_2+d_3)$ holds and $n<0$.

3. Nonrationality.

We use the notation of Section 2. Let X be a general[5] divisor in $|3M+nL|$, and suppose that the threefold X is smooth, $\operatorname{rk}\operatorname{Pic}(X)=2$, and X is rational. Let us show that $d_1=0$ and $n=1$.

The threefold X is given by a bihomogeneous equation

$$\sum_{l=0}^{3}\alpha_i\big(t_0,t_2\big)x_3^ix_4^{3-i}+x_1F\big(t_0,t_1,x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4\big)+x_2G\big(t_0,t_1,x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4\big)=0,$$

where α_i is a general homogeneous polynomial of degree $n+id_3$, and F and G stand for

$$\sum_{\substack{i,\,j,\,k,\,l\geqslant 0\\ i+j+k+l=2}}\beta_{ijkl}(t_0,t_2)x_1^ix_2^jx_3^kx_4^l\ \text{ and }\ \sum_{\substack{i,\,j,\,k,\,l\geqslant 0\\ i+j+k+l=2}}\gamma_{ijkl}(t_0,t_2)x_1^ix_2^jx_3^kx_4^l$$

respectively, where β_{ijkl} is a general homogeneous polynomial of degree $n+(i+1)d_1+jd_2+kd_3$, and γ_{ijkl} is a general homogeneous polynomial of degree $n+id_1+(j+1)d_2+kd_3$.

Let Y be a threefold given by $x_1F+x_2G=0$. Then $Y_3\subset Y$, where Y_3 is given by $x_1=x_2=0$.

Lemma 3.1. *The threefold Y has $2d_1+2d_2+4d_3+4n>0$ isolated ordinary double points.*

Proof. The threefold Y is singular exactly at the points of V where

$$x_1=x_2=F\big(t_0,t_1,x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4\big)=G\big(t_0,t_1,x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4\big)=0$$

by the Bertini theorem. But $Y_3\cong\operatorname{Proj}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(d_3)\oplus\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1})\cong\mathbb{F}_{d_3}$, where $(t_0:t_1;x_3:x_4)$ can be considered as natural bihomogeneous coordinates on the surface Y_3.

Let C and Z be the curves on Y_3 that are cut out by the equations $F=0$ and $G=0$, respectively. Then C and Z are given by the equations

$$\sum_{\substack{k,\,l\geqslant 0\\ k+l=2}}\beta_{kl}(t_0,t_2)x_3^kx_4^l=0\ \text{ and }\ \sum_{\substack{k,\,l\geqslant 0\\ k+l=2}}\gamma_{kl}(t_0,t_2)x_3^kx_4^l=0$$

respectively, where $\beta_{kl}=\beta_{00kl}$ and $\gamma_{kl}=\gamma_{00kl}$.

The degrees of β_{kl} and γ_{kl} are $n+d_1+kd_3$ and $n+d_2+kd_3$, respectively.

Let O be a point of the scroll V such that the set

$$x_1=x_2=F\big(t_0,t_1,x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4\big)=G\big(t_0,t_1,x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4\big)=0$$

contains the point O. Then $O\in C\cap Z$ and $O\in\operatorname{Sing}(Y)$.

It is easy to see that O is an isolated ordinary double point of the threefold Y in the case when the curves C and Z are smooth and intersect each other transversally at the point O.

Put $\bar{M}=M|_{Y_3}$ and $\bar{L}=L|_{Y_3}$. Then $C\in|2\bar{M}+(n+d_1)\bar{L}|$ and $Z\in|2\bar{M}+(n+d_2)\bar{L}|$. But

$$\Big|2\bar{M}+\big(n+d_1\big)\bar{L}\Big|$$

does not have base points, because $d_1+n\geqslant 0$ by Lemma 2.2. So, the curve C is smooth.

The linear system $|2\bar{M}+(n+d_2)\bar{L}|$ may have base components, and Z may not be reduced or irreducible. We have to show that C intersects Z transversally at smooth points of Z, because

$$\big|C\cap Z\big|=C\cdot Z=2d_1+2d_2+4d_3+4n,$$

where $2d_1+2d_2+4d_3+4n>0$ by Lemmas 2.2, 2.3 and 2.4.

Suppose that $d_1>-n$. Then $d_2\geqslant -n$ by Lemma 2.3. We see that $|2\bar{M}+(n+d_2)\bar{L}|$ does not have base points. Then Z is smooth and C intersects Z transversally at every point of $C\cap Z$.

We may assume that $d_1=-n$. Let $Y_4\subset Y_3$ be a curve given by $x_3=0$. Then

$$C\cap Y_4=\emptyset,$$

[5] A complement to a countable union of Zariski closed subsets.

and either the linear system $|2\bar{M}+(n+d_2)\bar{L}|$ does not have base points, or the base locus of the linear system $|2\bar{M}+(n+d_2)\bar{L}|$ consist of the curve Y_4. However, we have

$$C\cap Z\subset Y_3\setminus Y_4,$$

which implies that C intersects the curve Z transversally at smooth points of Z. □

Let $\pi\colon \tilde{V}\to V$ be the blow up of Y_3, and $\tilde{Y}$ be and the proper transforms of Y via π. Then

$$\tilde{Y}\sim \pi^*\big(3M+nL\big)-E,$$

where E is and exceptional divisor of π. The threefold $\tilde{Y}$ is smooth.

Lemma 3.2. *The equality* $\operatorname{rk}\operatorname{Pic}(\tilde{Y})=3$ *holds.*

Proof. The linear system $|\pi^*(M-d_2L)-E|$ does not have base points. Thus, the divisor

$$\tilde{Y}\sim \pi^*\big(3M+nL\big)-E$$

is nef and big when $n\geqslant 0$ by Lemmas 2.2, 2.3 and 2.4. Hence, the equality $\operatorname{rk}\operatorname{Pic}(\tilde{Y})=3$ holds in the case when $n\geqslant 0$ by Theorem 2 in [10]. So, we may assume that $n<0$.

Let $\omega\colon \tilde{Y}\to\mathbb{P}^1$ be the natural projection and S be the generic fibre of ω, which is considered as a surface defined over the function field $\mathbb{C}(t)$. Then S is a smooth cubic surface in $\mathbb{P}^3$, which contains a line in $\mathbb{P}^3$ defined over the field $\mathbb{C}(t)$, because $Y_3\subset Y$. Then $\operatorname{rk}\operatorname{Pic}(S)\geqslant 2$.

To conclude the proof we must prove that $\operatorname{rk}\operatorname{Pic}(S)=2$, because there is an exact sequence

$$0\longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}\Big[\pi^*\big(L\big)\Big]\longrightarrow \operatorname{Pic}\big(\tilde{Y}\big)\longrightarrow \operatorname{Pic}\big(S\big)\longrightarrow 0,$$

because every fibre of τ is reduced and irreducible (see the proof of Proposition 32 in [3]).

Let $\breve{S}$ be an example of the surface S that is given by the equation

$$x\big(q(t)x^2+p(t)w^2\big)+y\big(r(t)y^2+s(t)z^2\big)=0\subset \operatorname{Proj}\Big(\mathbb{C}[x,y,z,t]\Big),$$

where $q(t)$, $p(t)$, $r(t)$, $s(t)$ are polynomials such that the inequalities

$$\deg\big(q(t)\big)>0,\ \deg\big(p(t)\big)\geqslant 0,\ \deg\big(r(t)\big)>0,\deg\big(q(t)\big)\geqslant 0$$

hold. The existence of the surface $\breve{S}$ follows from the equation of the threefold Y.

Let $\mathbb{K}$ be an algebraic closure of the field $\mathbb{C}(t)$, let L be a line $x=y=0$, and let

$$\gamma\colon \breve{S}\to\mathbb{P}^1$$

be a projection from L. Then γ is a conic bundle defined over $\mathbb{C}(t)$. But γ has five geometrically reducible fibres F_1,F_2,F_3,F_4,F_5 defined over $\mathbb{F}$ such that

- $F_i=\tilde{F}_i\cup\bar{F}_i$, where $\tilde{F}_i$ and $\bar{F}_i$ are geometrically irreducible curves,
- the curve $L\cup F_i$ is cut out on the surface $\breve{S}$ by the equation

$$y=\epsilon^i\sqrt[3]{\frac{q(t)}{r(t)}}x,$$

  where $\epsilon=-(1+\sqrt{-3})/2$ and $i\in\{1,2,3\}$,
- the curve $F_4\cup L$ is cut out on the surface $\breve{S}$ by the equation $x=0$,
- the curve $F_5\cup L$ is cut out on the surface $\breve{S}$ by the equation $y=0$.

The group $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{C}(t))$ naturally acts on the set

$$\Sigma=\Big\{\tilde{F}_1,\tilde{F}_2,\tilde{F}_3,\tilde{F}_4,\tilde{F}_5,\bar{F}_1,\bar{F}_2,\bar{F}_3,\bar{F}_4,\bar{F}_5\Big\},$$

because the conic bundle γ is defined over $\mathbb{C}(t)$. The inequality $\operatorname{rk}\operatorname{Pic}(\breve{S})>2$ implies the existence of a subset $\Gamma\subsetneq\Sigma$ consisting of disjoint curves such that $\Gamma\subsetneq\Sigma$ is $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{C}(t))$-invariant.

The action of $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{C}(t))$ on the set Σ is easy to calculate explicitly. Putting

$$\Delta=\Big\{\tilde{F}_1,\tilde{F}_2,\tilde{F}_3,\bar{F}_1,\bar{F}_2,\bar{F}_3\Big\},\ \Lambda=\Big\{\tilde{F}_4,\bar{F}_4\Big\},\ \Xi=\Big\{\tilde{F}_5,\bar{F}_5\Big\},$$

we see that the group $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{C}(t))$ acts transitively on each subset Λ, Ξ, Δ, because we may assume that $q(t)$, $p(t)$, $r(t)$, $s(t)$ are sufficiently general. But each subset Λ, Ξ, Δ does not consist of disjoint curves. Hence, the equality $\mathrm{rk}\,\mathrm{Pic}(\check{S})=2$ holds, which implies that $\mathrm{rk}\,\mathrm{Pic}(S)=2$. $\square$

The linear system $|\pi^*(M-d_2L)-E|$ does not have base points and induces a $\mathbb{P}^2$-bundle

$$\tau\colon \tilde{V}\longrightarrow \mathrm{Proj}\Big(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}\big(d_1\big)\oplus\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}\big(d_2\big)\Big)\cong\mathbb{F}_r,$$

where $r=d_1-d_2$. Let l be a fibre of the natural projection $\mathbb{F}_r\to\mathbb{P}^1$, and s_0 be an irreducible curve on the surface $\mathbb{F}_r$ such that $s_0^2=r$, and s_0 is a section of the projection $\mathbb{F}_r\to\mathbb{P}^1$. Then

$$\pi^*\big(M-d_2L\big)-E\sim\tau^*\big(s_0\big)$$

and $\pi^*(L)\sim\tau^*(l)$. The morphism τ induces a conic bundle $\tilde{\tau}=\tau|_{\tilde{Y}}\colon\tilde{Y}\to\mathbb{F}_r$.

Let Δ be the degeneration divisor of the conic bundle $\tilde{\tau}$. Then

$$\Delta\sim 5s_\infty+\mu l,$$

where μ is a natural number, and s_∞ is the exceptional section of the surface $\mathbb{F}_r$.

Let S be a surface in $\tilde{Y}$ and B be a threefold in $\tilde{V}$ dominating the curve s_0. Then

$$B\cong\mathrm{Proj}\Big(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}\big(d_1\big)\oplus\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}\big(d_3\big)\oplus\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}\Big)$$

and $\pi(B)\cong B$. But $\pi(B)\cap Y=\pi(S)\cup Y_3$.

The surface Y_3 is cut out on $\pi(B)$ by the equation $x_1=0$, where $\pi(B)\in|M-d_2L|$. We have

$$S\sim 2T+\big(d_1+n\big)F,$$

where T is a tautological line bundle on B, and F is a fibre of the projection $B\to\mathbb{P}^1$. Then

$$K_S^2=-5d_1+2d_3-4d_2-3n+8$$

and $\mu=s_0\cdot\Delta=5d_1-2d_3+4d_2+3n$.

It follows from the equivalence $2K_{\mathbb{F}_r}+\Delta\sim s_\infty+(3d_1-2d_3+6d_2+3n-4)l$ that

$$\big|2K_{\mathbb{F}_r}+\Delta\big|\neq\emptyset\iff 3d_1-2d_3+6d_2+3n\geqslant 4,$$

which implies that Y is nonrational by Theorem 10.2 in [11] if $3d_1-2d_3+6d_2+3n\geqslant 4$.

The threefold Y is nonruled if and only if it is nonrational, because the threefold Y is rationally connected. So, the threefold X is nonrational by Theorem 1.8.3 in §IV of the book [7] whenever

$$3d_1-2d_3+6d_2+3n\geqslant 4,$$

which implies that $3d_1-2d_3+6d_2+3n<4$, because we assume that X is rational.

We see that either $d_1=0$ and $n=1$ or $d_1=1$ and $d_2=n=0$ by Lemmas 2.2, 2.3 and 2.4, but the threefold X is birational to a smooth cubic threefold in the case when $d_1=1$ and $d_2=n=0$, which is nonrational by [5]. Then $d_1=0$ and $n=1$. The assertion of Theorem 1.4 is proved.

References

[1] V. Alexeev, *On rationality conditions for threefolds with a bundle of del Pezzo surfaces of degree* 4
Matematicheskie Zametki **41** (1987), 724–730

[2] F. Bardelli, *Polarized mixed Hodge structures: on irrationality of threefolds via degeneration*
Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata **137** (1984), 287–369

[3] G. Brown, A. Corti, F. Zucconi, *Birational geometry of 3-fold Mori fibre spaces*
Proceedings of the Fano Conference, 29 September — 5 October 2002, Torino, Italy (2004), 235–275

[4] I. Cheltsov, V. Prjialkovski, C. Shramov, *Hyperelliptic and trigonal Fano threefolds*
Izvestia: Mathematics **69** (2005), 365–421

[5] H. Clemens, P. Griffiths, *The intermediate Jacobian of the cubic threefold*
Annals of Mathematics **95** (1972), 73–100

[6] M. Grinenko, *Del Pezzo fibrations*
Russian Mathematical Surveys, to appear

[7] J. Kollár, *Rational curves on algebraic varieties*
Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1996)

[8] A. Pukhlikov, *Birational automorphisms of three-dimensional algebraic varieties with a pencil of del Pezzo surfaces*
Izvestia: Mathematics **62** (1998), 115–155

[9] M. Reid, *Chapters on algebraic surfaces*
Lecture notes from a summer program held in Park City (Utah) in 1993 (1997), 5–159

[10] G. Ravindra, V. Srinivas, *The Grothendieck–Lefschetz theorem for normal projective varieties*
Journal of Algebraic Geometry, to appear

[11] V. Shokurov, *Prym varieties: theory and applications*
Mathematics of the USSR-Izvestia **23** (1984), 93–147

[12] K. Shramov, *On the rationality of nonsingular threefolds with a pencil of del Pezzo surfaces of degree* 4
Sbornik: Mathematics, **197** 5 (2006), 133–144

[13] C. Voisin, *Sur la jacobienne intermediaire du double solide d'indice deux*
Duke Mathematical Journal **57** (1988), 629–646